

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Richard K. McMillan	10541/281	5288
	EXAM	INER
	LEE, GUI	YOUNG
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2875	
	Richard K. McMillan	EXAMI LEE, GUI ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Δ. /		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Astinu Commence	09/966,495	MCMILLAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Guiyoung Lee	2875		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status *		·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	February 2005.	•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-16 and 18-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 09/966,495

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Prelim./Amdt.

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 02/28/2005.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the REMARKS, filed 02/28/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Whitehurst et al. (US 6,468,439 B1) and Kamada et al. (US 6,331,053). Applicant has overcome the Examiner's rejection by showing that the subject matter of the reference to Achari et al. and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitehurst et al. (US 6,468,439 B1) in view of Kamada et al. (USPT 6,331,063 B1).

 Re claims 1-3, 5-16, and 18-23: Whitehurst discloses a reflective circuit board and a method for forming an aperture in a circuit board, said method comprising a step of providing a layered

metal substrate having tri-metal layers such as copper/aluminum/copper layers (col. 7, lines 24+), applying a layer of masking material on a surface of top metal layer of said layered metal substrate; exposing said layered metal substrate to an etching process; removing said masking material from said top metal layer of said layered metal substrate to expose opening areas of said layered metal substrate (col. 7, lines 24++). Whitehurst does not disclose the opening area is reflective portion or aperture. Further, Whitehurst does not disclose a light source and a method of positioning the light source in the reflective aperture. However, Kamada teaches that an LED (1) is mounted in the dents (11) of the substrate (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount a light source such as an LED on Whitehurst's opening aperture as Kamada taught since it was known in the art that LED chip have been mounted on a substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 4 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: With regard to claim 4, although the prior art of record disclose a reflective aperture on a layered metal substrate, the prior art of record fails to disclose a step of coating the reflective portion with a substance to provide specific reflectivity levels. Further, with regard to claim 17, the prior art of record fails to disclose a reflective coating on the non-planar surfaces of the aluminum layer.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800